UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,181	9,181 10/03/2003 Gordon Bowman		GLH 08-896329	2790
27667 HAYES SOLO	7590 05/19/201 WAY P.C.	EXAMINER		
3450 E. SUNRISE DRIVE, SUITE 140			AUGUSTINE, NICHOLAS	
TUCSON, AZ 85718			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/19/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

admin@hayes-soloway.com smckniff@hayes-soloway.com nsoloway@hayes-soloway.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/679,181	BOWMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	NICHOLAS AUGUSTINE	2179						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external company of the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)).	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They have not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DTOL 004)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		npliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the and ellowable slaim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the and ellowable slaim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate. 								
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-9,11,12,16-22,25 and 27</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
 •	\cdot							
because: See Continuation Sheet.								
	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other:								
/Ba Huynh/								
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2179						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues against the limitations found in claim 16 which similar limitations are now found in claim 27. The Examiner notes a distinction between the prior art and the immediate application specification but not between the claimed limitations. The Examiner notes that further describing the functional steps I. generating a function name; II. calling user interface control instructions; and III. rendering the DOM; into language that would better distinguish between what is described in the immediate applications disclosure and what is described in the prior arts. Until then the Examiner maintains the current rejection where the Examiner has previous stated that the prior art teaches upon the current limitations as outlined in the arguments section of the final rejection 12/24/2009.

Note: Bowers teaches of a test driver that is made up of the use of exam source files 130; these files store a vast amount of information crucial to the presentation of the interface (test) to the user (par.91-92; "exam source files"). Exam source files include data files 132, XXL files 134, multimedia files 136 and hypertext markup language files 138. The XXL files contain templates that determine the physical appearance of the test, plugins, and any additional data necessary to implement the test. Additional data is also stored in data files 132. In paragraph 102 and illustrated in figure 6 describes the contents of Exam source file 130, in which detailed is a collection of user interface control elements. Thus Bowers provides support for the limitation "a collection of user interface control elements including a user interface control element associated with a user interface control identified in the web application" (generating a function name) and "the web application described in presentation markup language that has been extended to include the collection of user interface control elements, each of the user interface control elements of the collection" (calling control). Further in paragraph 99, Bowers describes how collection of interface items are linked (object-linking and embedding or OLE) and stored thus providing support for the limitation; "the user interface control comprising a name element for associating the user interface control of the web application with the user interface control element of the collection of user interface control elements" (generating the function name).

Further in addition to what was discussed above, Bowers teaches of HTML files that include any visual component of the test, such as the appearance of the test times, questions, the appearance of the presentation on the display device, the appearance of any client specified customizations and/or the appearance of score reports (par.93). As outlined above, a function of a plug-in is to change the visual style of the test and multiple plugins can be stored for use by the test driver (visual plugins; par.96). Further detailing into paragraph 102 about the contents of exam source file 120 comprises visual files that are HTML files that specify the visual presentation of the test as presented to the examine on the display device, including item files 222, presentation files 224, score report files 226 and custom look files 228 (rendering the DOM). (par.110, 117, 134, 137).